



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,499	10/23/2001	Assaf Zeira	P-181-3 US	3946
23366	7590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER	
MORTON CHIRNOMAS & ASSOC. 11355 W. OLYMPIC BLVD. SUITE 100			TRAN, DENISE	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064			. 2186	- H
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/047,499	ZEIRA ET AL.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Denise Tran	2186			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 10-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 5-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2011.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 6, 8,10, 12, 14, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim10, line 3, "the content" should be –a content--; claim 10, line 7, "then" should be –than--; and claims 6, 8, 12, 14, and 16 have similar problems as discussed in claim 10, . Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 10-17 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 4. Claims 2 and 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shagam, U.S. Patent No. 5,987,550.

As per claims 1, Shagam shows a computer system comprising:

At least two CPUs (e.g., fig.1, els. 19a-b);

Art Unit: 2186

shared memory shared by said CPUs (e.g., fig. 1, el. 16a), at least one shared system resource accessible to said CPUs (e.g., fig.1, el. 16b); and

said shared memory having therein a resource locking table (e.g., fig. 2, el. 24, 22), comprising memory elements, each of said memory elements design for being written to by only one of said at least two CPUs (e.g., col. 7, line 45 to col. 8, line 20), and each CPU having a corresponding memory element for each shared system resource to which it has access (e.g., col. 7, line 45 to col. 8, line 20), wherein each of said at least two CPUs is communicatively interconnected with said shared memory and said shared system resource, and said resource locking table is operative by each of said CPUs (e.g., figs. 1-2, els. 19a-b, 16a-b, 22, 24).

As per claims 3-4, wherein said memory element is a bit (e.g., col. 5, lines 35-38); wherein said memory element is a byte (i.e., address or identifier of processor has sequence of bits; e.g., col. 7, lines 50-55 or col. 13, lines 25-30).

As per claim 9, Shagam shows a method for locking a shared system resource for use by a single CPU in a multiprocessor, shared memory system (e.g., fig. 1, els. 19a-b and 16a; col. 7, lines 45 to col. 8, line 20), comprising providing a resource locking table in said shared memory which is operative by any CPU in the system (e.g., fig. 2, el. 24, 22), and by which any individual CPU can: a) update a memory element corresponding uniquely to the shared system resource and CPU (e.g., col. 8, lines 5-

Art Unit: 2186

10); and b) read all memory elements corresponding to the shared system resources (e.g., col. 8, lines 30-35; lines 55-60).

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Shagam (59875500) shows locking tables for a shared resource and a shared memory;
- b) Barriuso et al. (5535365) shows locking shared memory locations in multiprocessing systems;
- c) Fried et al. (6142676) shows locking processor identifications for controlling access to shared memory.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-9823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday and an alternated Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for central Official communications.

Art Unit: 2186

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

D.T.

February 22, 2004

Deusepan